

## EPA Official Record

---

**Notes ID:** 6A6A1508EBDA1EF9852577DD0067184B

**From:** David Peterson/R1/USEPA/US

**To:** GGill-Austern@nutter.com

**Copy To:** Cristeen Schena/R1/USEPA/US@EPA; Cynthia Catri/R1/USEPA/US@EPA; Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA; ManChak Ng/R1/USEPA/US@EPA; Maximilian Boal/R1/USEPA/US@EPA; Mary Ryan <MRyan@nutter.com>

**Delivered Date:** 08/31/2010 05:48 PM EDT

**Subject:** RE: New Bedford Harbor Superfund Site emails in response to your FOIA request - Email # 17

Gary,

The following is a seventeen responsive e-mail, with attachments, to your FOIA request. Please let me know if you have any questions about this additional document.

[E-Mail #17](#)

<b>To:</b>	<dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov>
<b>cc:</b>	"Fox, Steve \ (New Bedford\)" <Steve.Fox@jacobs.com>
<b>Subject:</b>	RE: cell #1/DDA cost est

Dave,

I have addressed all of your comments in the attached estimates. I changed the escalation on the Cap Pilot CDF to year 2010; originally it was escalated to 2009. Let me know if you need anything else.

Anita

-----Original Message-----

From: dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Wednesday, August 18, 2010 12:05 PM  
To: Rigassio-Smith, Anita  
Cc: Fox, Steve (New Bedford); Ng.ManChak@epamail.epa.gov;  
Maryellen.Iorio@usace.army.mil  
Subject: RE: cell #1/DDA cost est

Thanks Anita. Before I forward these to the PRP however we will need to edit these to add clarity and avoid confusion? The changes I'd like to see are as follows:

Capping

- we need to delete references to cells #1, 2 and 3 and change the terminology of "DDA" to "pilot CDF".
- reduce the acreage from 5 acres to whatever the pilot CDF is (5 x 0.3 = 1.7?)
- perhaps also reduce the design cost and the number of wells accordingly

Cell #1 T&D

- add footnote explaining the difference between the "bare 2008 rate" and the 2008 rate
- clarify escalated cost column, i.e., what year
- suggest deleting the "\$100/ton" in the line item for Disposal under Materials; will just be a source of confusion as is
- in the existing footnote, add the unit rates for mixed waste and PCB waste that went into the cost calculation
- add a note that the estimate does not include air monitoring costs

Thanks again - Dave

```
|----->
| From: |
|----->
```

```
>-----
-----|
|"Rigassio-Smith, Anita" <Anita.Rigassio-Smith@jacobs.com>
|
```

```
>-----
-----|
|----->
| To: |
|----->
```

```
>-----
-----|
|Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA
|
```

```
>-----
-----|
|----->
| Cc: |
|----->
```

```
>-----  
-----  
| "Fox, Steve \ (New Bedford\)" <Steve.Fox@jacobs.com>  
|  
  
>-----  
-----  
|----->  
| Date: |  
|----->  
  
>-----  
-----  
|08/17/2010 02:48 PM  
|  
  
>-----  
-----  
|----->  
| Subject: |  
|----->  
  
>-----  
-----  
|RE: cell #1/DDA cost est  
|  
  
>-----  
-----  
|
```

Hello Dave,

Attached are the cost estimates you requested.

For your information but probably not pertinent to AVX:

The Cell #1 estimate was prepared as part of Alternative #4 Hybrid  
\$80M/year  
cost estimate in February 2009.

The capping cost estimate was prepared as part of a Sawyer Street  
Relocation  
cost estimate developed for EPA in February 2008 (Cost Estimate 2bcf).  
In  
our CAD Cell estimates where we estimate only the DDA capping, and not  
the  
capping of Cells 1, 2 & 3, we assumed the DDA cap cost was 1/3 of the  
total  
[\$2,797,023 x 0.333 = \$932,341].

In cost estimates where these cost items were included, the cost items were escalated from the years originally developed to the Cost Year 0 of the respective cost estimate.

Anita

-----Original Message-----

From: dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, August 17, 2010 10:07 AM  
To: Mark.J.Anderson.Jr@usace.army.mil; paul.g.l'heureux@usace.army.mil; Maryellen.Iorio@usace.army.mil; Rigassio-Smith, Anita; Fox, Steve (New Bedford)  
Cc: Ng.ManChak@epamail.epa.gov  
Subject: cell #1/DDA cost est

Hello all - EPA needs a copy of the subject cost estimate (for T&D of cell #1 and capping of the DDA) to forward to AVX. We committed to providing it this week, if possible.

By the way, if not communicated to you all while I was out last week, AVX has agreed to keep all CBI confidential and not to be released.

Thanks - Dave

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.

(See attached file: Empty Cell 1.pdf) (See attached file: Cap Cells 123 and DDA.pdf)

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.

